

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/653,656	09/01/2000	Lior Shabtay	4-8 5487		
7590 10/19/2004			EXAMINER		
Joseph B. Ryan			JUNG, MIN		
Ryan, Mason &		APTIBUT	24272 1711 4222		
90 Forest Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Locust Valley, NY 11560			2663		
			DATE MAILED: 10/19/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary								
		09/653,656		SHABTAY ET AL.				
	·	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Min Jung		2663				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the d	over sheet with the c	correspondence add	dress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR I MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CION. CFR 1.136(a). In no eventition. s, a reply within the statuto period will apply and will e y statute, cause the applica	i, however, may a reply be tin ory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 🏹	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	27 May 2004.						
•	_	This action is nor	n-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dienoeit	·	,	, . ,					
4)⊠ 5)□	<u></u>							
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the Ex The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	accepted or b) to the drawing(s) be correction is required	held in abeyance. See l if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF	• •			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note	the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmen	t(s)							
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infon	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ or No(s)/Mail Date <u>5-27-04</u> .	48) SB/08) 5	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P OHER:	ate	9-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bernstein et al., 6,157,644 (Bernstein).

Bernstein discloses a method and apparatus for accelerating OSI layer 3 routers.

Specifically, Bernstein teaches a method of accelerating the routing of frames by an acceleration switch within a network, comprising: receiving, by the acceleration switch, frames directed to one of one or more routers or switches of the network (col. 6, lines 15-21, step 900 of Fig. 9); determining, for at least some of the received frames, whether the frames belong to a first list of frame groups, defined by values of a plurality

Application/Control Number: 09/653,656

Art Unit: 2663

of frame parameters (col. 6, lines 21-25, steps 904, 906, and 908 of Fig. 9); and routing, by the acceleration switch, at least some of the received frames, the routed frames being selected responsive to the determining (col. 7, lines 4-22, steps 910, 912, and 914 of the leftmost flow in Fig. 9).

Bernstein further teaches: receiving frames which have a layer-2 destination address of a router or a switch (Abstract, lines 10-16, col. 3, lines 11-15); routing the frames based on, substantially only, the destination addresses of the frames (col. 6. lines 21-25); routing the received frames using information in an entry of the first list of frame groups which matches the routed frame (col. 6, line 21 – col. 7, line 22); routing frames which were determined to belong to a group in the first list (col. 5, lines 28-32); determining for substantially all the received frames whether the frames belong to a first list of frame groups (col. 6, lines 21-25, steps 904, 906, and 908 of Fig. 9); determining for frames which may require access control, and for frames of a connectionless protocol (as shown by the MAC frames and datagram packets, Fig. 2 and Fig. 4); the first list of frame groups comprising a list of groups which include frames routed by one or more routers or switches of the network, and a list of groups which include frames recently routed by one or more routers or switches of the network (col. 5, lines 28-32, col. 7, lines 4-22); layer-2 source address and layer-2 destination address (Fig. 3); the first list of frame groups listing only groups including frames transmitted to and received from the routers or switch (Figs 10A and 10B); the plurality of parameters which define the frame groups comprise at least one parameter in addition to the IP destination address (col. 6, lines 1-5, i.e., next hop address); and parameters which define the

Application/Control Number: 09/653,656 Page 4

Art Unit: 2663

frame groups comprising the source port of destination port of the frames (col. 6, lines 1-5).

Bernstein further teaches an acceleration switch having the corresponding functions of the method claimed. Specifically, Bernstein teaches an acceleration switch (Fig. 6) comprising a table listing groups of frames defined by a plurality of parameters of the frames (610); a table trainer which creates entries in the table responsive to frames received by the switch (620); a comparator which determines whether the frames belong to one of the groups in the table (614, 616); and a routing unit which routes frames directed to at least one router of routing switch for which the comparator found a matching group in the table (614, 610, 606). See col. 5, lines 34-65.

Bernstein teaches the functions recited as further limitations (claims 38-45) as addressed above in connection with the method claims.

Regarding claims 46-48, the limitation regarding "the violation of policy rules" reads on the situation shown in the decision blocks of 908 and/or 916 of Fig. 9, in which decisions are made depending on whether the destination is found in the table, and whether the packet is from the switch. The criteria of violation of policy rules can read on the situation that the destination is (or is not) found in the table. See Fig. 9.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed May 27, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 09/653,656 Page 5

Art Unit: 2663

Applicant's argument can be summarized as that Bernstein does not teach the claim step of "determining, for at least some of the received frames, whether the frames belong to a first list of frame groups, defined by values of a plurality of frame parameters" (sole point argued by applicant). Examiner disagrees with applicant's argument. For the determining step, Examiner relied on the steps shown at blocks 904, 906, and 908 in Fig. 9 of Bernstein. When a frame is received, the decision blocks 906 and 908 decide whether the extracted destination information is in the table. Based on the decision, one frame group will follow the path indicated by a "YES", and the other frame group will follow the path indicated by a "NO". Therefore, this decision covers the claim limitation requiring determination of whether the frames belong to a frame group, with the destination address reading on the frame parameter.

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 09/653,656 Page 6

Art Unit: 2663

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Min Jung whose telephone number is 571-272-3127. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, and Thursday 8AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau Nguyen can be reached on 703-272-3126. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MJ October 15, 2004 Min Jung
Primary Examiner